

Brussels, 6.4.2022 C(2022) 1931 final

ANNEX 4

ANNEX

to the

Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) .../...

supplementing Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to regulatory technical standards specifying the details of the content and presentation of the information in relation to the principle of 'do no significant harm', specifying the content, methodologies and presentation of information in relation to sustainability indicators and adverse sustainability impacts, and the content and presentation of the information in relation to the promotion of environmental or social characteristics and sustainable investment objectives in precontractual documents, on websites and in periodic reports

EN EN


ANNEX IV

Template periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

Product name: No Such Friends of Bryq Coöperatief U.A.

Legal entity identifier:

Environmental and/or social characteristics



Section 1



1.1 To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

This financial product (No Such Friends of Bryq) promotes E&S characteristics through supporting the UN Sustainable Development Goals but does not have as its objective sustainable investment. The financial product seeks to invest in Idalto Ltd. (Bryq), with the potential for growth and a positive impact on Sustainable Development Goals.

1.2 How did the sustainability indicators perform?

The KPI used to measure the sustainability indicators were:

- Female impact score on Al judgement
- 2. Ethnicity/nationality score on Al judgement.

According to information provided by Bryq in their report entitled "Holistic AI Bias Audit for New York City Local Law", the company scored 0.47/100 for Female impact score on AI judgment, and 0.50/100 for Ethnicity/nationality score on AI judgement. As applicants are rated on a

scale from 0-100, an impact score of 0.47% and 0.50% shows that there is no notable difference in decision-making of the AI model in terms of gender, ethnicity, or nationality.

1.3 ...and compared to previous periods?

As this is the first year we are actively tracking this metric, it is hard to compare year-over-year growth. Bryq actively encourages the development of diverse hiring processes with their customers.

- 1.4 What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives? Not applicable.
- 1.5 How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

Not

[Include a statement for the financial products referred to in Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852]

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.

applicable.

1.5.1 How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

Not applicable.

1.5.2 Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:

Not applicable.



Section 2

2.1 How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?



This financial product considered principal adverse impacts (PAIs) on sustainability factors as part of a thorough due diligence process. Bryq received a "Pass" score across all assessed indicators, reflecting compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines.

Key highlights include Bryq's adherence to human rights principles, ensuring freedom of association, eliminating forced and child labour, and maintaining anti-discrimination practices. Environmentally, Bryq supports local service networks to reduce emissions and promotes environmentally friendly technologies. Additionally, Bryq avoids exposure to controversial weapons, fossil fuel activities, and biodiversity-sensitive areas. Anti-corruption measures were

upheld through ethical training embedded in the onboarding process. These considerations align with Bryg's commitment to sustainable and responsible business practices.

Section 3

3.1 What were the top investments of this financial product?

Largest investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
Idalto Ltd.	Services - Professional & Commercial Services		Cyprus




Section 4

4.1 What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

Not applicable.

4.2 What was the asset allocation?

[Include only relevant boxes, remove irrelevant ones for the financial product]




4.3 In which economic sectors were the investments made?

All of No Such Friends of Bryq's investments are in Idalto Ltd. (Bryq) which covers Professional & Commercial Services, including human resources and psychometrics.

Section 5

5.1 To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial



Not applicable.

- **5.2** What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities? Not applicable.
- 5.3 How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable.

5.4 What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

Not applicable.

5.5 What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

Not applicable.

5.6 What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

Not applicable.

Section 6



6.1 What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

During the reference period, No Such Ventures implemented several actions to meet the environmental and social characteristics of the financial product through active ownership, monitoring, and reporting. The fund actively engaged with portfolio companies, including Goboony, to advocate for enhanced sustainability practices. This included discussions with company management and participation in shareholder resolutions related to sustainability.

Sustainability risks were monitored and reassessed throughout the investment lifecycle, ensuring alignment with the latest research, policy developments, and company disclosures. Additionally, No Such Ventures produced annual sustainability risk reports to maintain transparency and accountability, providing stakeholders with updates on how identified

sustainability risks were being managed effectively. These actions reflect a proactive approach to supporting the sustainability objectives of the financial product.

Section 7

- 7.1 How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?
 - 7.1.1 How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable.

7.1.2 How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable.

7.1.3 How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable.

7.1.4 How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable.